75/72597 ILLEGIB 1 4 MAR 19

MEMCRANDIM FOR: Brigalier Ceneral George S. Brown, PSAF

Office of the Secretary of Defense

SALTECT

: Druft "Statement on Intelligence Debate"

I have reviewed the Department of Defense druft which you transmitted under covering meno of 9 March 1960, in which ; requested commurence in its declaration.

Although there is nothing significant in the draft statement which has not appeared in posite on some authority or other, further official configuration appearing in the press does to some extent harm intelligence committy. Thus it should be released only if considerable gain is substraine to be expected. Farther, I doubt that a brief and guarded statement such as this world contribute greatly to clearing up my contains which my results and therefore recommend against its release to the promi-

Should Secretary Gates' judgment on this question by in offset, that considerable gain is to be expected, and should be therefore decide to remove the stemment, the attached duties are recumended for sourcey.

SIGNED

C. P. CARREL General, USAF

OSD REVIEW COMPLETED

XECUTIVE	PERISTRY		 	<b></b>		

XECUTIVE REGISTRY		) Praft prepared	by	OVNE.	25X	1
Via realing	1	inal prepared:	in office of AO/	NE	M	
		per		tified te	25X	1
		conform h	old-back copies	•		

DCI noted this after DDCI signature

and agreed to Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5
Orig & Prioved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5
Copies rec'd O/DCI- 1-DDCI- DFR resingueth bulgant durant

Attachments to 1 - 182-191 p

## RECOMMENDED CLASSES TO SELECTIONS OF LECELLIFIERS DELECT

## Last Paregraph on Page 1. Change to read:

Intelligence Estimate for this year, which envisages only the case vigorous but orderly type of program, and the National.

Intelligence Estimate for last year, which envisaged the permitability of either an orderly or a "cresh" program.

### Pirat paragraph on page 2, minch line.

Change the work "confirmed" to "renffirmed".

# Lest paregraph on Page 2, continued on 3. Change to read:

compared to the accelerated or "crash" figures included in the National Intelligence Estimate last year as a possibility, the figures now contained in the National Intelligence Estimate for 1960 and 1961 are significantly lower. If the figures included in last year's deticnal Intelligence Setimate for the orderly program are compared to those included in this year's deticate, the Soviet ICHA capability now estimated for 1960 and 1961 can be regarded as received comparable in masher to their previous previous in the/estimate.

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

	App	roved For Rele	ase 200	3/04/24	: CIA-	RDP80B	01676R000	900050005-5		
	The Property of the Party of th		LUMATUK)	t ktrot		1 A THE 17 TH THE THE	common terminal and the common			
- DOCU	ENT DESCRIPTI	ION		<u> </u>	,		*## # # 1 A A			
SOURCE		<u> </u>					₹ 13.1-	RE		
L Di	Œ.							CIA CONTROL N		
DOC. NO.			- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		- + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		DATE DOCUMENT	FCEL	
DOC. DATE								The bookings.	TEURI).	
COPY NO.	4							LOGGED BY		
NUMBER OF	TTACHMENTS		. <u></u>	<u>.</u>	-	- : : -	1 2 2 2 2		4	
	nel		. = <del>.</del>							
ATTENTION:	This form wi	Il be placed on t	top of and	i attache	d to e	ach Top Si	actat documen			
is downers	ded, destroyed	Or transmitted		CIA an	. WIII	remain at	ttached to th	ie document unt	E Such	9 £
personnel and/or rel	and those indi-	viduals whose off	ficial dut	ies rela	te to	to lop se the matter	ecret matter . Top Secr	is limited to et Control Of	iop Se: Scess	
columns pr	ovided. Each i	individual who se	es the To	p Secret	docume	ent will s	dicate peri	od of custod	in t	
REFERRED	the second secon	RECEIVED	4	1 1 - 1	<b></b>		1 N 1 2 4 4 4			
OFFICE		SIGNATURE	£ A TE	TIME	REL,I	EASED		SELN BY		
			<del></del>	+	+	<del>                                      </del>	5 I GN A TUR	E AND OFFICE		
, IR	in Alexandria	Ε.	3/4	1/60	3/	1460				
Kente	<u></u>		13/:	4/60	/	7				
1	7 -		/	1	<b> </b>	<u> </u>			1000	
And the second s	(2年)の (第2)は (27)を (27)を		:							
			<del></del>		<del> </del>	<del>  </del>			ļ	
		<u> </u>			1					
									-	
				ļ - <u></u>					!	
						<del>  -</del>				
		, I		-			* * * ;			
						17 8 1			<del>-</del> <del>-</del> -	
		<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		<u>2</u>	11 13						1	
									ļ -	
	erg 1 eg.				į					
in the second			4				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			<del>                                     </del>						a a managaran	
							<del></del>			
								<b>7</b>	1	
rie i Ries	ere	*		(FXFC)	TIVE	REES L	* FW 7	( <del>1</del>		#15 # #15
		<u>A</u>		Textoo		IEB TO 1 SE	1 1 ban			1 4 BA
	1277							į.		,
NOTICE OF D	TACHMENT: Whe	en this form is d	<del>dia al</del> i	<u>_</u>						
spaces below	and transmitt	ed to Ceptral Top	Secret (	rrom lop Control 1	Secret for sec	material ord.	it shall be	completed in t	o app	
го	DOWNGRADED	1007 (201-2-100) = 12 0 2 1		DESTR				PATCHED COUTS	2F.C	
	<u> </u>	BY. (.	Signsture	,) 			то			
Y (Signatur	;)	WITN	ESSED BY	(Si anatu	<u> </u>	4 - 1 - 16 - 261	- DV (C)			
				8		12 12	BY (Signat	ure)		

TOP SECRET



# Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

# OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

March 9, 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: General C. P. Cabell

Deputy Director

Central Intelligence Agency

Secretary Gates is extremely anxious to use the attached draft statement without classification, and has asked that I refer it to you or to Mr. Dulles with his request for concurrence in declassifying the attached draft.

Should this not be possible in its current form, it would be appreciated if it could be re-worked to permit its declassification.

Brigadier General, USAF Military Assistant

Attachment

JOP SIGNET

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5



#### Approved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

## OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

March 7, 1960

#### MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY GATES

The charge has been repeated several times recently that you misrepresented the intelligence information regarding the change in the relative ICBM strengths of the U.S. and the USSR this year compared with last.

We don't know how many people believe this charge; we believe quite a few thoughtful people are confused regarding the conflicting claims in this area and their confidence in the kind of facts they are getting may be shaken.

In the protection of the President, yourself, Mr. Dulles, and the reputation of our military leaders, I believe it important a clear layman's statement explaining this conflict be made. This can be used by you with the press, and by others of us called upon to cornment on these charges.

of CIA, with the concurrence of Mr. Dulles, said they would be reluctant to declassify it. Since that time Congressman Mahon has referred to the "crash program", Jack Norris has discussed the matter in some detail in the Washington Post, on the one hand; on the other, the opposition has continued its attempts to damage the prestige and reputation of both Mr. Dulles and yourself.

I would like to suggest that Mr. Dulles be asked to look at this statement again in the light of recent developments and see if either this statement cannot be declassified or if a few word changes cannot be made which would make it declassified.

Attachment

\*Attached

STAT

## Approved For Release 2003/04/24 CIA-RDH80B01676R000900050005-5

#### STATEMENT ON INTELLIGENCE DEBATE

Last year we had available to us a National Intelligence
Estimate which gave us the numbers of operational ICBM's which
the USSR could achieve or might achieve in various time periods.
These were calculated to cover either of two possibilities: one, that
the Soviets would pursue a vigorous but orderly ICBM program, and
the other, that they would pursue a highly accelerated or "crash"
program.

This was the basis of the presentations made to the several Committees of Congress last year by the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff in evaluating the Russian ICBM threat.

Because either was possible, we felt obliged in the interests of national security to consider the possibility that the Soviets would do all they could do and we based our evaluation on the assumption that they would proceed with the highly accelerated or crash program.

A year has now passed. Additional information has been acquired and further refinement has been accomplished. Considering all the available evidence, we believe it is now well established that the USSR is not engaged in a crash program for ICBM development.

Herein lies the difference between the National Intelligence

Estimate for this year and the National Intelligence Estimate for

last year.

Approved For Release 2003/19/24: CIA-RDP 0B01676R0009000500055, 59 4

Even to the layman who has no classified information but has simply been watching developments in Russia, this should not come as a surprise. The eagerness of Khrushchev to overtake the United States as the number one industrial power of the world is obvious. The Soviet seven-year plan is simply one evidence of this desire. An all-out maximum acceleration program of missile production would clearly require the diversion of resources from other programs to which the Soviet Government has attached great importance. In addition, the Intelligence community has now confirmed that there is no indication that the Soviet Union has embarked upon an all-out maximum acceleration program of missile production.

Some have claimed that the National Intelligence Estimate regarding the Soviet strategic attack capability has been reduced since a year ago and others have claimed that it has not been reduced. The validity of these claims depend upon which of last year's figures are being considered -- those for the orderly or those for the accelerated program.

Compared to the <u>accelerated</u> figures included in the National Intelligence Estimate last year, the figures now contained in the National Intelligence Estimate for 1960 and 1961 are significantly lower.

If the figures included in last year's National Intelligence Estimate for the orderly program are compared to those included in this year's Estimate, the Soviet ICBM capability in 1960 and 1961 could be regarded as about the same in number as previously estimated, or could be greater, depending upon whether one takes the maximum or the minimum figures contained in the present estimate.

However, the important thing to remember is that whether we take the estimate of a year ago or the current estimate, it is the firm judgment of our military and scientific advisors that our deterrent and retaliatory position remains adequate to meet the threat today and in the years ahead. That is to say, we do not foresee a time when the Soviets could launch an attack on us without inviting unacceptable damage to themselves in return.

Approved For Release 2003/04/24 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5 SIGNATURE RECORD AND COVER SHEET DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION CLA CONTROL SOURCE Defense DATE DOCUMENT RECEIV DOC. NO. DOC. DATE 9 Mar 60 #1 GOPY NO. 25X1 NUMBER OF PAGES 1(4t)NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS Enclosure, article ATTENTION: This form will be placed on top of and attached to each Top Secret document received by Intelligence Agency or classified Top Secret within the CIA and will remain attached to the document wat is downgraded, destroyed, or transmitted outside of CIA. Access to Top Secret matter is limited to personnel and those individuals whose official duties relate to the matter. Top Secret Control Of Control and/or release the attached Top Secret material will sign this form and indicate period of custom columns provided. Each individual who sees the Top Secret document will sign and indicate the data right-hand columns eceive €t-hand i the REFERRED TO RECEIVED RELEASED SIGNATURE DATE TIME SIGNATURE AND OFFICE -OFFICE TIME 60 DDCI . Na Parin (na hain ta, basi sa ta 48 E., NOTICE OF DETACHMENT: When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in apaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record. riate DISPATCHED TOL SIDE DESTROYED DOWNGRADED BY (Signature) BY (Signature ITNESSED BY (Signature Approved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5 

25X

# Vital Point Ignored on Missiles

By John G. Norris Staff Sangelet

ing America's missile posture pon easily can he spended.

vis.a-vis Russia has improved since last year apparently on launchers, Democratic Senignored the most important on launchers, Democratic Senignored the most important on the important of the latter of the latte

Claims Jr. ware counting only the year before. The estimated top Government officials in missile-prediction figures or Soviet edge in total inventory January, 1959, did not give tetal inventories when they de of missiles is less than 3 to 1.

Here is where the argument viet missile strength for 1960 over "nanabilities" versus "in the county of the country of the c

basis of semparison—the num- ators on the investigating com- tion budget was adequate. basis of samparison—the sumber of hydrogen-headed ICBMs mittees say without contradicexpected to be an launchers tion, that the intelligence estiand ready to firs.

This emerges from the confusion about the downgraded periority in the early 1960s showed that counting only the
intelligence estimates which may be greater than the 3-1 number of ICBMs on faunch
This emerges from the confusion shout the downgraded periority in the early 1960s showed that counting only the
intelligence estimates which may be greater than the 3-1 number of ICBMs on faunch
This emerges from the confusion shout the downgraded periority in the early 1960s showed that counting only the
intelligence estimates which may be greater than the 3-1 number of ICBMs on faunchintelligence setimates which may be greater than the 3-1 number of ICBMs on issuachtwo Senate committees have margin acknowledged last year ers the "missile gap" picture atten has caused notice related bean trying to clarify behind by then Defense Secretary had not improved. been trying to clarify behind by then Defense Secretary had not improved.

clared that month that the miss. Here is where the argument viet missile strength for 1960 his sile gap had "narrowed."

Twising, himself, declared tentions" gets into the committed in January of this year, at an epen hearing before the plex picture, American intelligible was brought out. Only mittee and Senate Prepared age that the Bussians, if they most "aignificant" basis for missile program, could have comparison is the number of considerably more than a like a comparison is the number of considerably more than a like a considerably on launchers, superiority over what the strength was not considered strength was not considered.

comparison is the number of considerably more than a 3-1 received daily intelligence briefing on future "on-lawned as the information on future "on-lawned as the information on future "on-lawned as the information of why Twining did not know what last year's less than firm of why Twining did not know what last year's less than firm of why Twining did not know what last year's less than firm on reliable estimates reported on this point and why he was able to say earlier that the "gap had narrowed."

But Twining also said under questioning in published testimony that conduce, perhaps because it wants struction of ICBM bases is the to wait for improved missiles. This is what Gates and illingting factor in increasing. This is what Gates and United States missile as the future when they seld conduction of the future increasing the what they seld conduction of the future increasing the what they seld conduction of the future increasing the what they seld conduction of the future increasing t

Pentagon statements declar- day and production of the wee- month that the "gap had nar-telligence limitates, it declar-

United States Intelligeres

hen Twining - engine Long questioning of Central Neil H. McEiroy.

Questioned about this, Twin launcher seem last year's encountry of the that the U.S.S.R. is unlikely Joint Chiefs of Staff, has to build as many ICBMs in brought out that Twining and the next few years as was brought out that Twining and the next few years as was brought out that Twining and the next few years as was committees. The National Intelligence Herritary Thomas & senceded possible last year of furnished the Pentagon and missile-prediction flowers. whether it was part of the annual national estimates, well if Twining receives daily brief. ings, how was such an impertant factor ignored when he and Gates testified the "gap had narrowed," they ask?

25X1

#### Approved For Release 2003/04/24: CIA-RDP80B01676R000900050005-5

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

15 March 1960

Office of the Hilitary Assistant, OSD Room 3E 880. The Pentages Attention: Mrs. Alice Blake

In compliance with request from Mr. Gale of your office, attached is thermofax dopy of "Statement on Intelligence Debate" which was received by General Cabell as attachment to General Brown's letter of 9 March 1960.

Secretry

25X1

25X1

(Thermo cy# 1 2 Enclosure to T.S. 172 594 front as alone - Dia DR-Byhand)

- MEMORANDUM FOR: General Cabell

The Director said he agrees with your approach on this.

He feels that if the subject is left alone for a while it may die down.

14 March 1960 (DATE)

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101

(47)